

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,607	12/17/2001		Ni Ding	10177-103	5308
20583	7590	03/08/2006		EXAMINER	
JONES DA			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D		
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3738	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_
_/	,
1.	
•	_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Al di CAL I and	10/022,607	DING ET AL.  Art Unit  3738  orrespondence add  ), which is after the end of the statutory period attention and publication fee) seed of the entire interest of the period for seed of the entire interest of the period for seed of the entire interest of the period for seed of the entire interest of the period for seed of the entire interest of the period for seed of the entire interest of the period for seed of the entire interest of the period for seed of the entire interest of the period for seed of				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner					
	Christopher D. Prone	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		L	ress			
This application is abandoned in view of:		•				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of New period for reply (including a total extension of time of)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	·				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) 🔀 No reply has been received.						
<ul> <li>2.  Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> <li>(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of</li> </ul>						
Allowance (PTOL-85).		id publication ree, set	in the Notice of			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance		OED 4.40( I) :				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		CFR 1.18(a), is \$	·			
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Noti	ce of			
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.</li> </ol>	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire int	erest, or all of			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity und	ler 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		e the period for seek	ing court review			
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:						
Through a telephone call with Mrs. Linda Azrin it wa	s concluded that no response wa	s filed to the Office	Action.			
		00				
CORRINE McDERMOTT CDP						
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to with		CFR 1.181, should be p	romptly filed to			